

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/630,156	07/30/2003	Jerrold E. Franklin	ALT6089.02A	9487	
8156 IOHN P. O'B.A	8156 7590 12/31/2007 JOHN P. O'BANION		EXAM	AMINER	
O'BANION & RITCHEY LLP			WILLS, MONIQUE M		
400 CAPITOL MALL SUITE 1550 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
oriera iviziv	10, 0.175011		1795		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/31/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

					
	•	Applica	ition No.	Applicant(s)	
•	Sino Antion O	10/630,	,156	FRANKLIN ET AL.	
O ₁	ffice Action Summary	Examin	ier	Art Unit	
		,	e M. Wills	1795	
The Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this commu ly	nication appears on t	the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	_
WHICHEVE - Extensions of after SIX (6) N - If NO period fo - Failure to repl Any reply rece	ER IS LONGER, FROM THE I time may be available under the provision MONTHS from the mailing date of this com	MAILING DATE OF T ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no of the indication. Statutory period will apply and the will, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUN event, however, may d will expire SIX (6) MC	a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication ARANDONED (35 ILS C. & 133)	
Status					
1)⊠ Respo	onsive to communication(s) fi	led on 12 October 20	007		
	action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is		•	
' =		·		itters, prosecution as to the merits i	ic
	d in accordance with the prac				13
			,		
Disposition of	Claims				
	(s) <u>1-9 and 12-21</u> is/are pend				
	the above claim(s) is/	are withdrawn from c	consideration.	•	
	(s) is/are allowed.		•		
	(s) <u>1-9 and 12-21</u> is/are rejec	ted.			
	(s) is/are objected to.				
8)∐ Claim	(s) are subject to restr	iction and/or election	requirement.		
Application Pa	pers			•	
9)∏ The sr	pecification is objected to by the	he Examiner			
	rawing(s) filed on <u>7/30/03</u> is/a		r b) Cobjected	to by the Examiner	
	ant may not request that any obje				
				g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121((d)
				ed Office Action or form PTO-152.	(ω).
	35 U.S.C. § 119	•			
_	wledgment is made of a claim	o for foreign mainthe	indor 35 LL C C	\$ 440(a) (d) (5)	
	b) Some * c) None of:	r for foreign priority u	inder 35 U.S.C.	9 (19(a)-(d) or (f).	
	Certified copies of the priority	v documents have be	on received		
	Certified copies of the priority			Application No.	
				n received in this National Stage	
	application from the Internation			ir received in this National Stage	
	attached detailed Office action			t received	
	actions a composition	5	anda dopies ne	*	
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of Refe	erences Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🔲 Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
1) Notice of Refe 2) Notice of Drai	erences Cited (PTO-892) ftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (bisclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application	

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This Office Action is responsive to the Amendment filed October 12, 2007. Franklin et al. U.S. Pub. 2002/0022382. Claims 1-9 & 12-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Franklin et al. U.S. Pub. 2002/0022382 and Merriam Webster's Collegiate Dictionary Tenth Edition as evidentiary support. A brief reiteration is recited below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9 & 12-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Franklin et al. U.S. Pub. 2002/0022382 and Merriam Webster's Collegiate Dictionary Tenth Edition as evidentiary support.

In re claims 1,10 & 21, Franklin teaches a fuel cell assembly comprising: a bipolar separator plate having a first side and a second side (Fig. 6); a membrane electrode assembly attached to said first side (Fig. 6); independently-acting compliant members attached to said second side (par 75); and a conductive electrical contact attached to said independently acting compliant members (par. 99). The limitation of claim 1, with respect to the conductive electrical contact being laminar, is considered an inherent characteristic of the conductive contact set forth, because the conductive contact of Franklin is a thin metal conductive plate. (See Merriam Webster's Collegiate Dictionary Tenth Edition, where it defines "laminar" as a thin plate). As to claims 3 & 4, the second and third laminar electrical contacts are attached to individual subsets of compliant members. See Figure 13 and Claim Interpretation section above. With respect to claims 5 & 11, the independently acting compliant members are springs (par. 95). With respect to claim 9, the fuel cell stack comprises multiple cells, wherein the laminar electrical contact of said first cell is in electrical contact with the membrane electrode assembly of the second cell. See Figure 14. Specifically, when the spring arrays are compressed, the individual spring contacts of neighboring cells are in positive electrical contact See Par. 100. With respect to claim 12, independently acting

compliant members and a laminar electrical contact are placed between bipolar separator plates and membrane electrode assemblies. See Figure 13. In re claim 13, Franklin teaches a fuel cell assembly comprising: a bipolar separator plate having a first side and a second side (Fig. 6); a membrane electrode assembly attached to said first side (Fig. 6); independently-acting compliant members attached to said second side (par 75); and a conductive electrical contact attached to said independently acting compliant members (par. 99). The independently-acting compliant members are flexible (par. 79) and make electrical contact with the bipolar plate (par. 75). The limitation of claim 13, with respect to the conductive electrical contact being laminar, is considered an inherent characteristic of the conductive contact set forth, because the conductive contact of Franklin is a thin metal conductive plate. (See Merriam Webster's Collegiate Dictionary Tenth Edition, where it defines "laminar" as a thin plate). As to claims 14 & 15, the second and third laminar electrical contacts are attached to individual subsets of compliant members. See Figure 13 and Claim Interpretation section above. With respect to claim 17, the fuel cell stack comprises multiple cells, wherein the laminar electrical contact of said first cell is in electrical contact with the membrane electrode assembly of the second cell. See Figure 14. Specifically, when the spring arrays are compressed, the individual spring contacts of neighboring cells are in positive electrical contact

See Par. 100. See also, Figure 13 and Claim Interpretation section above. With respect to claim 18, the fuel cell stack comprises multiple cells, wherein the laminar electrical contact of said first cell is in electrical contact with the membrane electrode assembly of the second cell. See Figure 14. Specifically, when the spring arrays are compressed, the individual spring contacts of neighboring cells are in positive electrical contact See Par. 100. See also, Figure 13 and Claim Interpretation section above. With respect to claim 20, the fuel cell stack comprises multiple cells, wherein the laminar electrical contact of said first cell is in electrical contact with the membrane electrode assembly of the second cell. See Figure 14. Specifically, when the spring arrays are compressed, the individual spring contacts of neighboring cells are in positive electrical contact See Par. 100. See Figure 13 and Claim Interpretation section above.

Franklin does not teach: a separate compliant ember and bipolar separator plate (claims 1, 12, 13, 18 & 19), apertures in the conductive laminar contacts.

The reference is concerned with fastening attachments with bolts and screws (claims 2 & 16, see par. 74). Franklin also does not expressly disclose: the length of the array of laminar electrical contacts being approximately equal to the length of the membrane electrode assembly (claim 6); or the width of the

laminar array being approximately equal to the width of the membrane electrode assembly (claims 7 & 8).

However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the instant invention was made to employ a separate compliant member and bipolar separator plate in the fuel cell of Franklin, because such a modification would require a mere duplication of parts. It has been held that mere duplication of parts of essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8. Multiple laminar plates serve as fuel cell interconnects that increase electrical conductivity in the fuel cell.

With respect to claims 2 & 16, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the instant invention was made to employ apertures in the conductive laminar contacts, in order to securely attach the contacts to the compliant members. As recognized by Franklin, the skilled artisan recognizes that fastening attachments such as apertures with screw fittings firmly secure abutting members (claims 2 & 16).

With respect to claims 6, 7 & 8, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ laminar contacts across the entire length and width of the membrane electrode

assembly, in order to optimize performance of the fuel cell by facilitating electrical conduction and reducing electrical resistance. Franklin recognizes that the contact area facilitates electrical conduction and reduces resistance, suggesting that maximum electrical contact coverage (both length and width) is desired (claims 6, 7 & 8).

Page 7

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see page 7, filed October 12, 2007, have been fully considered but are not persuasive. The Examiner acknowledges that the telephone interview on October 12, 2007 it was agreed that the laminar electrical contact of the instant claims was different from the reference. However, the Applicant does not point out the differences in the response. In order to clarify the record, the Examiner suggest that a description of the how the prior art differs from the claims is provided, as evidence that the Franklin reference is overcome. The Examiner suggest a minimal explanation, but one more sufficient than the assertion that they are different. Accordingly, the rejection of record will be withdrawn.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Monique Wills whose telephone number is (571) 272-1309. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan, may be reached at 571–272–1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703–872–9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

Application/Control Number: 10/630,156

Page 9

Art Unit: 1795

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (tollfree).

MW

12/25/07

POP PATRICIE RYAN Mythly 12.26.07